

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/525,821	Applicant(s) KRALIK ET AL.	
	Examiner Yate K. Cutliff	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Yate K. Cutliff.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brion Heaney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: July 3, 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Restriction requirement

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner required the applicant to make a subspecies election for ligand A and the rhodium salt. Applicant's representative elected ligand species A on page 12 at line 20 (S) TolBINAP, and rhodium salt of Example 1 on page 15 $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})\text{Cl}]_2$.